MEMBER'S EVALUATION OF MHJA RECOGNIZED COMPETITIONS

This evaluation will be considered only if completed by an active MHJA. Unsigned evaluations, or evaluations lacking member information will not be considered. You may attach additional pages if necessary.

Member Name (print)					MHJA Number		
Com	plete	e Address	·				
Mem	nber	Position:		Steward/Official			
N#	. I (0:	Groom	•	Other:		
		•					
				member is under			
	_				Da	te	
				recognized competition			
show o	quality o	of MHJA event oped that exhil	s. This for	m is available on the Nese this form to inform mpetition to the MHJA	MHJA web site, at sho the MHJA of both <i>po</i> s	ow secretary sitive and neg	stands, and at the M gative comments. Re
EVA	I <i>LUA</i>	TION OF	F FACI	LITIES			
				ne terms: EXCELLEN	IT, GOOD, AVERAG	E, FAIR, PO	OR.
	- l- l !						
ı. Sta	abling ^		neral Co	ndition)			
	A. R	Stalls (Siz	nerai co e)				
	C.	Lighting					
	D.	Availability	·				
	E.	Water Sup	y oply				
	F.	Wash Rad	k/Bathin	g Facilities			
	G.	Restroom	s (Cleanl	iness)			
	Н.	Restroom	s (Locati	on/Quantity)			
	I.	Comment	s:				
	• •	4					
II. PA	A Sys						
	A. R	Volume					
				nt (Were stabling,	narking compet	ition and s	spectator areas
	Ο.			d?			
	D.	Comment	s:				
	- ulela.	_					
III. P	arking	g Trailers (S	Size of Ar	·23)			
	A. R	Trailere (C	n∠e ∪⊩Al)uality ∧f	Surface)			
	Б. С	Trailers (A	kuanty Ol Iccessihi	lity)			
				tor (Size of Area)			
	E.	Spectator	Competi	tor (Quality of Sur	face)		
	F.	Spectator/	Competi	tor (Accessibility)			
		Comment		(= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			

IV. Arenas

Α.	Competition Arena(s) (Size)
B.	Competition Arena(s) (Quality of Footing)
C.	Competition Arena(s) (Dust Control)
D.	Competition Arena(s) (Quality of Show Jumps)
E.	Competition Arena(s) (Lighting-indoors only)
F.	Comments:
G.	Schooling Arena(s) (Distance to show arena)
Н.	Schooling Arena(s) (Size)
l.	Schooling Arena(s) (Quality of Footing)
J.	Schooling Arena(s) (Dust Control)
K.	Schooling Arena(s) (Quality of Fencing)
L.	Schooling Arena(s) (Suitability of Practice fences)

Any further comments or concerns about the show facilities:

EVALUATION OF SHOW SCHEDULE

M. Comments:

Did the competition follow the schedule of classes as described in the published prize list?

Yes No

If not, were the changes adequately made public? **Yes No** If not, please explain:

Did the show start at the published start time? Yes No

If not, was the starting time change made public the previous day? **Yes No** If not, please explain:

Was the show ring(s) empty for extended periods (other than in the case of accident, injury, or jump replacement)? **Yes No**

Was the show management actively trying to get competitors to come to the ring? **Yes No**

Please explain:

In the case of multiple ring horse shows, were the classes scheduled in such a way as to minimize conflicts? **Yes No**

In the case of conflicts, was show management able to satisfy trainer/competitors when given ample notice of the conflict? **Yes No**Please explain:

Were course changes carried out in a prompt, professional manner? **Yes No**Was there sufficient daylight to complete the scheduled classes without deviating from the published show bill? **Yes No**

Any further comments or concerns about the show schedule:

EVALUATION OF SHOW MANAGEMENT

Was the show manager accessible, visible, and open to exhibitors' questions and concerns?

Yes No

The show manager's handling of problems and questions was:

Helpful Officious Disinterested

Comments:

Was the name(s) of the Rules and Standards and Grievances representative(s) published in the prize list? **Yes No**

Was the Rules and Standards and Grievances representative(s) accessible, visible, and open to exhibitors' questions and concerns? **Yes No**

The Rules and Standards and Grievances representative(s) knowledge of the rules was:

Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor

The Rules and Standards and Grievances representative(s) handling of problems and questions was: *Helpful Officious Disinterested*

Comments:

Was the show secretary accessible, visible, and open to exhibitor questions and concerns?

Yes No

The show secretary's handling of problems was: *Helpful Officious Disinterested* Comments:

The gate steward(s) ability to present horses in their posted order was:

Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor

The gate steward(s) handling of problems and questions was:

Helpful Officious Disinterested

Comments:

Any additional comments or concerns about the Show Management:

EVALUATION OF COURSE DESIGN

Name of course designer			
A	6 1 1 6 11	- " .	0.45.6.4

Appropriateness of course for level of competitor: **Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor**Comments (please give specific examples):